REMARKS

I. Interview Summary

The Applicants thank Examiners Christina Bradley and Cecelia Tsang for the courtesy of a telephonic interview on September 21, 2006 with the undersigned attorney. During the interview, the petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.181 and §1.144 to request review and withdrawal of a 442-way restriction requirement, which was filed in parent application no. 09/908,943, was discussed. A copy of the Petition Decision issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Applicants traverse the current restriction requirement and, as one option for its replacement, request that the Petition Decision be applied to the pending claims.

During the interview, the Applicants requested that the Petition Decision be applied to the pending claims. In particular, the Examiner stated that she was amenable to the election of a four amino acid peptide in view of the foregoing amendment.

Also as discussed during the interview, the generic claims have been amended to a similar format to the currently pending claims in parent application no. 09/908,943. In the parent application, the Applicants have argued that the generic claims are patentable. It is premature for the Applicants to know the status of the parent claims at the time of election in the present application. The Applicants do not intend to pursue issuance of claims of identical scope in more than one patent.

II. Support for Amendments

The amendment to the specification to insert several paragraphs of text finds support in U.S. patent application no. 09/416,901 (now U.S. Patent No. 6,699,674) at page 9, line 19, through page 10, line 12, at page 33, line 7-23 and at page 50, line 20, through page 51, line 12. This patent application is incorporated by reference in its entirety in the present application (see page 39, lines 26-27). Therefore, insertion of these paragraphs does not add new matter to the specification pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.57(c).

The paragraphs inserted by the foregoing amendment refer to the sequences of SEQ ID NO: 198 and 199. A substitute sequence listing is submitted herewith to include these sequences. This amendment does not add new matter because the sequences were

disclosed in the parent application no. 09/416,901, which is incorporated by reference in its entirety in the patent application as described above.

A number of the claims contain limitations defining the beta secretase polypeptide used in methods of the invention. These claim recitations are described in the specification at page 39, line 18 through page 42, line 6, including the paragraphs newly introduced from the application that is incorporated by reference. (In addition, these polypeptides and polynucleotides are claimed in related U.S. Patent Nos. 6,828,117, 6,825,023, 6,737,373, 6,797,487, 6,753,163, 6,867,018 and 6,913,918.)

The new claims are supported through out the specification and do not add new matter to the application. In particular, β-secretase polypeptides purified and isolated from a cell transformed or transfected with a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide and is supported at page 9, lines 17-21. Methods of administering a test agent to a non-human mammal and is supported at page 67, lines 18-26. Substrates that are expressed in a cell transformed or transfected with a polynucleotide encoding that substrate are supported at page 43, line 23, through page 44, line 14.

Claims 1-42, 50-57, 61-62, 65 and 67-83 are canceled without prejudice because these claims were directed to unelected inventions. Applicants reserve the right to purse claims of the same or similar subject matter in continuing applications.

III. Election

Pending claim 1, 4-6, 14-28, 36, 41, 43, 49, 52, 58-60, 63, 64, 66, 70 and 72-83 were restricted into the following groups of inventions. The Applicants were required to elect (1) a particular type of invention, and (2) a particular peptide species used in or referred to by the invention.

- A. Group I consists of claims 1, 4-6, 14-28, and 83 drawn to compositions comprising isolated polypeptides having the general formula $P_3P_2P_1 P_1P_2P_3$.
- **B.** Group II consists of claims 36, 38 and 41 drawn to a polynucleotide for the expression of the peptides.
- C. Group III consists of claims 43, 58-60, 63, 64 and 66 drawn to a method of assaying modulators of β -secretase activity.

- **D.** Group IV consists of claim 49, drawn to a method for treating Alzheimer's disease.
- **E.** Group V consists of claim 52, drawn to a methods of expressing the peptides of claim 1.
- F. Group VI consists of claims 70 and 71 drawn to a kit for assaying for inhibitors of β -secretase activity.
- **G.** Group VII consists of claims 73-83 drawn to isolated peptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 152.

Applicants hereby elect the invention drawn to methods for assaying for the activity of proteins in the presence of peptides having the general formula $P_2P_1 - P_1P_2$ (Group III) using a substrate comprising the peptide having the particular amino acid sequence NL-AA. Thus, the peptide NL-AA is the required species election (see paragraph 48 of the Action). All of the claims (84-107) read on the elected species NL-AA. The amended claims no longer identify peptide sequences by sequence identification number (SEQ ID NO:) and therefore a particular sequence identification number is not elected.

IV. Traversal of Election of a Single Peptide Sequence

In the Office Action, the Examiner required election of a single peptide sequence $P_3P_2P_1 - P_1P_2P_3$. As discussed in the interview, the claims are amended to recite substrates comprising a peptide having an amino acid sequence of at least 6 amino acids wherein the four amino acids defined by formula $P_2P_1-P_1P_2$ are particularly defined (P_2 is N, P_1 is P_1 is P_2 is P_3 and P_3 is P_4 is P_4 and P_3 is P_4 and P_4 is P_4 are each selected from the amino acid residues set out in the Table 1. For the Examiner's convenience, Table 1 is reproduced below:

| P2 | P1 | P1' | P2' |
|----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Y | E | V |
| L | L | Α | A |
| K | M | D | N |
| S | Nle | M | Т |
| G | F | Q | L |
| Т | Н | S | F |
| D | | G | S |
| A | | | : |
| Q | | | |
| Е | | | |

The Applicants request that requirement to designate a core sequence of a peptide species as part of the election be limited to four amino acids rather than six amino acids as stated in the restriction requirement. The election of a four amino acid species is consistent with the attached Petition Decision. In addition, a search based on the four amino acid species is not unduly burdensome. Even if the computer-based sequence search based on the elected substrate peptide sequence identifies a large initial pool of literature, the pool can be expected to be easily reduced (or completely eliminated) in the context of additional limitations of the elected method claims. In the absence of a teaching or suggestion in the prior art to use a peptide as a substrate for an aspartyl protease as recited in the method claims, the claims will be novel and unobvious. The ability to limit the peptide search with other search parameters greatly lessens the burden perceived by the Examiner.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

Dated: September 22, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Sharon M. Sintich

Registration No.: 48,484

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300

Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

(312) 474-6300

Attorney for Applicant